

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

			www.uspto.gov	(500
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/062,552	04/20/1998	YOSHINOBU SHIRAIWA	35.G2135	3178
5514 7	7590 12/21/2001			
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			EXAMINER WALLERSON, MARK E	
			2622	21
			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2001	<i>A</i> (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No.

09/062,552

Applicant(s)

Shiraiwa

Advisory Action Exam

Examiner

Mark Wallerson

Art Unit **2622**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>Dec 14, 2001</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a fin rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	al for
THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) In view of the early submission of the proposed reply (within two months as set forth in MPEP § 706.07 (f)), the period for reply expires on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, OR continues to run from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the fi rejection.	inal
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. T appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originest in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>Oct 24, 2001</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will be entered upon the timely submission of a Notice of Appeal and Appeal Brief wi requisite fees.	th
3. X The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter. (See NOTE below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>TThe proposed new claims 80-93 raise new issues that would require further search and/or consideration.</u>	<u></u>
4. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).	
6. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	ne —
7. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly rais by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ed
8. 🗵 For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
Claim(s) allowed:Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 1-79	
9. The proposed drawing correction filed on all has bll has not been approved by the Example 1.	nine
10. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
11. Other:	